



Final Summary of Decisions

ADOPTED MOTIONS

Old Business

Motion #15 It was M/C World Board

To approve the WSC 2008 minutes.

Action: carried by unanimous consent



Motion #1 It was M/C World Board

To approve “A Vision for NA Service” stated below to replace the existing “NA World Services Vision Statement”

A Vision for NA Service

All of the efforts of Narcotics Anonymous are inspired by the primary purpose of our groups. Upon this common ground we stand committed.

Our vision is that one day:

- **Every addict in the world has the chance to experience our message in his or her own language and culture and find the opportunity for a new way of life;**
- **Every member, inspired by the gift of recovery, experiences spiritual growth and fulfillment through service;**
- **NA service bodies worldwide work together in a spirit of unity and cooperation to support the groups in carrying our message of recovery;**
- **Narcotics Anonymous has universal recognition and respect as a viable program of recovery.**

Honesty, trust, and goodwill are the foundation of our service efforts, all of which rely upon the guidance of a loving Higher Power.

Intent: To replace the NAWS Vision Statement with a vision statement for all NA services.

Action: carried by unanimous consent



Motion #2 It was M/C World Board

To approve the draft contained in Addendum A, *Money Matters: Self-Support in NA*, to replace the existing IPs #24 “*Hey! What’s the Basket For?*” and #25 *Self-Support: Principle & Practice*.

Intent: To replace the existing informational pamphlets, IP#24 and 25, with an updated pamphlet on self-support.

Action: carried by 2/3 voice vote



Motion #3 It was M/C World Board

To approve the draft contained in Addendum B, *Funding NA Services*, as a Conference Approved pamphlet.

Intent: To have a pamphlet that helps groups understand their contributions and participation in the NA fund flow.

Action: carried by 2/3 voice vote



Motion #4 It was M/C World Board

To approve the revisions to *In Times of Illness* contained in Addendum C.

Intent: To revise and update *In Times of Illness* to better reflect our current experience.

Action: carried by unanimous consent



Motion #8 It was M/S/C Doug C (RD California Mid-State)/Ken M (RD Southern California)

The World Board is directed to develop a project plan for presentation to WSC 2012 for a Traditions Working Guide.

Intent: The intent of this motion is to give the conference the ability to consider prioritizing this guide as a future literature project.

Action: carried by majority voice vote



New Business

Motion #24 It was M/C World Board

To approve the Fellowship Issue Discussions project plan for inclusion in the 2010–2012 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.

Action: carried by unanimous consent



Motion #25 It was M/C World Board

To approve the Public Relations project plan for inclusion in the 2010–2012 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.

Action: carried by voice vote



Motion #26 It was M/C World Board

To reaffirm the Service System project plan for inclusion in the 2010–2012 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.

Action: carried by unanimous consent



Motion #27 It was M/C World Board

To reaffirm the “Living Clean – the Journey Continues” project plan for inclusion in the 2010–2012 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.

Action: carried by unanimous consent



Motion #28 It was M/C World Board

To approve the Leadership Orientation Material project plan for inclusion in the 2010–2012 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.

Action: carried by voice vote

Motion #29 It was M/C World Board

To approve the Service Material project plan for inclusion in the 2010–2012 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.

Action: carried by voice vote



Motion #30 It was M/C World Board

To adopt the 2010–2012 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget

Action: carried by voice vote



Motion #31 It was M/C World Board

To recognize Denmark as a seated World Service Conference participant beginning at the close of WSC 2010.

Action: carried by voice vote



Motion #32 It was M/C World Board

To recognize Lithuania as a seated World Service Conference participant beginning at the close of WSC 2010.

Action: carried by unanimous consent



Motion #34 It was M/C World Board

To remove the following language about *The NA Way Magazine*, indicated by strike-through, from page 18 of the 2008 *A Guide to World Services in NA*.

The World Service Office publishes *The NA Way Magazine*: our fellowship's international journal. The magazine provides both a forum for sharing about NA recovery, unity, and service, as well as information about world services. ~~Produced in English, *The NA Way* is translated into the primary languages spoken in Narcotics Anonymous. The magazine is distributed four (4) times a year, at no cost to subscribers, to every trusted servant and NA group contact address in the WSO database, as well as any member who asks to be included in that mailing list.~~ The magazine is edited by WSO staff and an editorial board appointed by the World Board.

Intent: To allow the World Board the time and flexibility to experiment with changes to the automatic distribution of *The NA Way Magazine*.

Action: carried by voice vote



Motion #35 It was M/C World Board

To change the following language for the Local Support Committee of WCNA, indicated by underline and strike-through, from page 39 of the 2008 *A Guide to World Services in NA*.

WCNA Workgroup Local Support Committee

Purpose

Although the World Board has the responsibility for the world convention, the WCNA Workgroup ~~local support committee~~ plays an important role in its success. The purpose of the WCNA Workgroup ~~support committee~~ is to support the World Board World Services in specific areas of planning and implementation by providing input, volunteers, and assistance.

Composition

~~The support committee consists of up to 15 members based on the needs of the specific event. This includes an administrative committee that consists of two persons serving as Co-chairs and one person serving as Secretary/Treasurer. The number of additional members needed for the support committee will be provided for each event.~~

~~The clean time requirement for all support committee positions is five years. These positions are all elected by the local region on behalf of the World Board. Once elected, they are accountable to the World Board and responsible to provide reporting to the region.~~

~~The types of tasks assigned to the support committee vary from convention to convention. Generally, the administrative committee is responsible for communicating with world services, the region, and the rest of the support committee; disbursing funds when necessary; and keeping a record of the activities and meetings of the support committee. Volunteer recruitment, training, and scheduling is one of the most important and time consuming jobs in the planning and success of a world convention.~~

The direction and focus is set by world services. The World Board will provide each WCNA Workgroup support committee with a list of its responsibilities.

Intent: To make the language describing this workgroup better reflect the current practices of NAWS.

Action: carried by voice vote



Motion #43 It was M/S/C Jeff K (RD Greater New York) / Jeremy F (RD Northern New England)

To remove the following language from “Approval Process for Recovery Literature,” indicated by a strike-through from page 36 of the 2008 GWSNA.

Approval Process for Recovery Literature

...B. Approval-form Literature

1. Approval-form literature is prepared by the World Board and is distributed for a period of time, considering translations, determined by the World Board of not less than one hundred fifty (150) days. The length of this approval period is determined by the World Board based on the needs of the fellowship and the piece being considered for approval. ~~The approval form of book length pieces will be available for one year prior to the conference where it is being considered.~~

Intent: To allow the World Board the option of more time and flexibility in the creation of book length pieces.

Action: carried by 2/3 voice vote



Motion #46 It was M/S/C Ken M (RD Southern California) / Daniel F (RD Washington/NW Idaho)

To change the following language about the length of term for Cofacilitators indicated by underline and strike-through, from pages 23 and 25 of the 2008 – 2010 *A Guide to World Services in NA*.

“The WSC Cofacilitators are two (2) individuals elected by a simple majority of the World Service Conference. The purpose of the WSC Cofacilitators is to preside over the business meeting of the World Service Conference. WSC Cofacilitators must have a minimum of eight (8) years clean time. ~~The term for each position will be one is two (2) conference cycles. The Cofacilitators may be elected to two consecutive terms.~~ WSC Cofacilitators may not serve two full consecutive terms. WSC Cofacilitators are accountable to the World Service Conference.”

“The ~~two~~ Cofacilitator nominee(s) receiving the most votes above the required 50% majority will be elected as the WSC Cofacilitator(s).”

“In order to keep the ideal of one-third of World Board seats, ~~and~~ one-half of the Human Resource Panel and one Cofacilitator rotating every two years, open positions at the conference on the World Board above eight, ~~and~~ on the HRP above two and Cofacilitators

above one will be considered vacancies. If the conference chooses to fill a vacancy, the same majority is required for these positions as listed above but the World Board or the HRP or the Cofacilitators respectively will determine the term length after the election.”

Intent: To make the Cofacilitator service position a two conference term immediately so at least one of the Cofacilitators has previous experience facilitating World Service Conference business sessions.

Action: carried by 2/3 voice vote



DECISIONS REACHED BY STRAW POLL OR WITHOUT OBJECTION

Motion #42 It was M/S Brad K (RD Utah) Chris K (RD Wisconsin)

To include a section about the Fellowship Intellectual Property Trust (FIPT) in the Guide to Local Service. This section will include things such as information about the six guidelines included in the NA Intellectual Property Bulletin 4 and guidelines for the proper use of the NA trademark and symbol. Suggested wording below:

Only NA groups have the authority under IP Bulletin #1 to reproduce fellowship-approved recovery literature in certain instances. When preparing to reproduce NA Fellowship-approved recovery literature, we suggest that NA groups discuss the Fourth Tradition and follow these six general guidelines:

- 1. An NA group shall only reproduce NA Fellowship-approved recovery literature when it has a clear need to do so.*
- 2. NA Fellowship-approved recovery literature reproduced by an NA group should be distributed only within that group. Such materials should always be given away free of charge; they should never be sold to generate income.*
- 3. The text of NA Fellowship-approved books and pamphlets reproduced by an NA group should not be altered or modified in any way.*
- 4. The copyright for the item being reproduced should be shown prominently as follows: "Copyright (c) [year of first publication by NAWS], Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. Reprinted by permission. All rights reserved."*
- 5. As long as the conditions of IPB# 1 and this IPB# 4 are met, no advance permission is required. Groups need not, but are encouraged to, register themselves with the World Service Office.*
- 6. In the event that there is any conflict relating to the enforcement or interpretation of this bulletin, the procedure outlined in IP Bulletin #5, Conflict Resolution Within the NA Fellowship, will govern.*

Intent: To inform groups and committees of the correct use of the NA symbol, trademark, and reproduced literature.

Action: A commitment was made by the World Board to do this if it is the wish of the body. There was no objection to this offer.



Motion #50 It was M/S Ken M (RD Southern California) / Daniel F (RD Washington/NW Idaho)

To add a brief description of the conference approval track (CAT) in future editions of the GWSNA, to be listed under the World Service Conference Publications heading (on page 13-14 of the GWSNA).

Intent: This section includes description of other World Service Conference publication but doesn't have a brief description of the CAT. This motion would hopefully bring together both the brief description on page 9, paragraph 3 of the CAT and its distribution timeline on page 35, paragraph J.

Action: A commitment was made by the World Board to do this if it is the wish of the body. There was no objection to this offer.



The Saturday morning session was focused on seeking a sense of the body through straw polls. Following are the results.

WSC PROCESSES

Would you support the idea of the board discussing or looking for ways for regions to pass ideas for consideration without need for regional motions at WSC 2012?

STRAW POLL—no objections

The same idea/development process would also apply to new business?

No need for a straw poll. Already covered in the previous discussion.

Zonal meetings or zonal reports?

ZONAL MEETINGS—over half the body wants to keep this session

ZONAL REPORTS—about a third of the body want to keep this session

Taking a break mid week at the Calamigas Ranch?

STRAW POLL—overwhelming support to keep it

Many questions came up about the cost and NAWS promised a breakdown of WSC 2010 expenses in the future.

RD Sharing Session—was it of value to you?

STRAW POLL—strong support to continue this session

LITERATURE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

We encourage you to continue to submit your ideas for the literature development process, so it can continue to be developed and refined.

The literature survey will still be released to the fellowship to get a sense of what the literature priorities are. We need delegates help to get the word out and to encourage members to participate.

INTERNET ISSUES

NAWS Facebook page—should we pursue ways to use the tool as a means for communication and presence there, and looking for ways to preserve members’ anonymity.

STRAW POLL—strong opposition

SERVICE SYSTEM

We will be framing the discussion and presentation tools (including visual aids) for you immediately following this conference. We have heard your comments about the names for the units.

We will also create a page on na.org for the project, where these materials will be made available. We will also work on formats for reporting back to us and providing input.

NA WAY

Do you support the idea of looking for ways to solicit donations to offset the cost of the magazine?

STRAW POLL—very strong support

Purging the *NA Way Magazine* subscription database and requiring those members and groups who wish to remain subscribed to re-subscribe? (It will still be available online free of charge; the purge may or may not be necessary for e-subscribers. We will research bulk subscriptions for areas and regions.)

TO REQUIRE ALL SUBSCRIBERS TO RESUBSCRIBE—no objections

ELECTIONS

What are the difficulties we have in establishing confidence in the nominees for World Board elections? How can we be more effective? Would you be willing to answer questions (through some anonymous medium) about how to improve our elections process?

DO YOU WANT TO BE SENT A QUESTIONNAIRE?—very strong support

We are taking this as your commitment to participate.

COMMUNICATION

Please help us by updating your RD contact information as your positions turn over.

What do you think about the idea of keeping the conference participant bulletin board more relevant to current participants by limiting use to current and (single) previous cycle participants?

STRAW POLL—very strong support

We have tried to survey conference participants asking about the topics you would like to hear discussed at the conference, but with limited responses. We will continue to look for ways to hear from you.

In this cycle, we expect that we will try to make use of zonal forum participation as a way to talk about the service system project. We would like our zonal participation to continue to grow as part of the information feedback loop.

Each World Board member will have a list of RDs to stay in one-on-one contact with. This is not meant to be your exclusive way to be in contact with NAWS, or as an “official” contact. It is an experiment to provide personalized contact. We will be making new assignments for the coming cycle. (Again, please update your contact information with us, especially if you don’t hear from anyone from the board.)

FTP Access: Much of the materials you have seen and some of the input we have collected will be put on a CD and mailed to all conference participants, but it will also be available on the FTP site in the meantime. We encourage you to use the information from these places responsibly.

As a multi-lingual conference, we have experienced challenges. We all need to strive to remain mindful of this in our participation at the WSC. Perhaps the translators will come up with a list of suggestions for good communication skills at the conference.

ISSUE DISCUSSION TOPICS

We will provide session profiles on:

Self-Support IPs

In Times of Illness

A Vision for NA Service

A group/member-focused topic

COMMITTED MOTIONS

Motion #52 It was M/S/Committed Karyn W (RD Show-Me) / Kitty I (RD Australian)

We move that the World Board, using WSC participants, develop a plan to implement a Consensus Based Decision Making process that, among other things, would eliminate the use of motions at the WSC.

Intent: to provide a more spiritual methods of doing business
M/S/C to commit to the World Board Jim B (WB)/ Jason W (RD Alabama/NW Florida)
Action: committed by unanimous consent



MOTIONS DISCUSSED BUT EITHER NOT ADOPTED OR NOT BROUGHT TO BUSINESS SESSION

Old Business

tion #6 It was M/S/F Kenneth B (RD New Jersey)/Leah H (RD Chesapeake & Potomac)
To add purple key tags for multiple decades of clean time to the NAWS inventory.

Intent: To give recognition to addicts celebrating decades of clean time; at this time there is no key tag to reflect multiple decades of clean time.

Action: failed by voice vote



Motion #5 It was M/S/F Karyn W (RD Show-Me)/Kenneth B (RD New Jersey)
Direct NAWS to produce and add to inventory a purple decades clean and granite decade clean key tag in English only.

Intent: To add two items for longer milestones of recovery to the keytags available from NAWS.

Action: failed by voice vote



Motion #12 It was M/S/F Michael B (RD Free State)/Leah H (RD Chesapeake & Potomac)
To add language to the end of the Approval Process for Recovery Material section of GWSNA, page 36, that says, “No more than two informational pamphlets or one booklet or one book-length piece will be out for Fellowship review and input at the same time.”

Intent: To limit the number of pieces of recovery literature out for Review and Input at the same time.

Action: failed by voice vote



Motion #17 It was M/S/F Rick W (RD Mountaineer) / Earl W (RD Greater Philadelphia)
To commit Motion 12 to the World Board.

Intent: In the spirit of consensus-based procedures, we would like this idea to live as a possibility, rather than a motion.

Action: failed by voice vote.



Motion #10 It was M/S/F David M (RD South Florida)/Jeff K (RD Greater New York)

The World Board will post on the NAWS Website the motions that pertain to the Conference Approval Track at the same time the Conference Approval Track is sent out to Conference Participants.

Intent: To provide Pre-WSC awareness to our Elected Trusted Servants well in advance about the World Board’s upcoming agenda.

Action: failed by standing vote: 42/64/2/3 (for/against/abstain/present)



Motion #13 It was M/S/F Michael B (Free State)/Leah H (Chesapeake & Potomac)

To add language to the end of the Approval Process for Recovery Material section of GWSNA, page 36, that says, “Review and Input periods will be at least six months for informational pamphlets and booklets and at least one year for book-length pieces of literature.”

Intent: To establish a new minimum timeframe for Review and Input of recovery literature.

Action: failed by voice vote



Motion #18 It was M/S/F Rick W (RD Mountaineer) / Earl W (RD Greater Philadelphia)

To commit Motion 13 to the World Board.

Intent: In the spirit of consensus-based procedures, we would like this idea to live as a possibility, rather than a motion.

Action: failed by voice vote



Motion #11 It was M/S/F Jeff K (RD Greater New York) / Elliot L (RD ABCD)

The World Board will post on na.org all recovery literature workgroup meeting records in English within 30 days of any workgroup meeting. The World Board will also link these postings to any project resources: such as the projects discussion board, or similar resource. This post will include the project’s timeline and a schedule of workgroup meetings. The WB will also post their meeting schedule & have the discretion to post an overview of the literature development process or any other additional information.

Intent: To provide additional communication and information about recovery literature projects to the fellowship.

Action: failed by voice vote



Motion #16 Ken M (RD Southern California)

To amend motion 7 in the CAR by replacing language on page 38, paragraph 3, with the following: “To add a Spanish line numbered large print Basic Text, 6th edition, to NAWS inventory.”

Intent: To clearly define which edition of the Spanish Basic Text the initial motion in the 2010 CAR was speaking to.

Action: amendment to motion 7 accepted without action

Motion #7 It was M/S/F Ken M (RD Southern California)/ Doug C (RD California Mid-State)
To add a Spanish (Castilian) Line Numbered Large Print Basic Text, 6th edition in the current edition, to NAWS inventory.

Intent: To have a Spanish (Castilian) version of the Basic Text line numbered in large print available.

Action: failed by voice vote.



Motion #14 It was M/S/F Kenneth B (RD New Jersey) / Jabril S (RD Northern New York)
To require a 180-day review and input period for Fellowship Approved, Conference Approved, or World Board Approved material.

Intent: To create a new review and input requirement for Conference Approved material and World Board Approved Service tools, PR material, bulletins, and other material and to extend the existing review and input period for Fellowship Approved material and World Board Approved Service Pamphlets.

Action: failed by voice vote



Motion #19 Rick W (RD Mountaineer)/ Earl W (RD Greater Philadelphia)
To commit Motion 14 to the World Board.

Intent: In the spirit of consensus-based procedures, we would like this idea to live as a possibility, rather than a motion.

Action: failed by voice vote

New Business

Motion #33 It was M/F World Board

To revise the conditions of the moratorium adopted at WSC 2008 as follows:

To place a moratorium on the current *Criteria for Recognition of New Conference Participants* from *A Guide to World Services in NA* until WSC 2012. ~~The World Board would continue to make recommendations to the conference in 2010 and 2012 concerning regions that did not result from a division of a conference seated community.~~ No regions will be considered for seating at WSC 2012.

Intent: To allow the conference time to discuss WSC seating and the attendant policies without the added consideration of new regions requesting seating for this one conference only.

Action: failed by standing vote 80/40/1/0 yes/no/abstain/present

Appeal the decision of the chair on the vote count. The decision was upheld.



Motion #23 It was M/S/F David M (RD South Florida) / Kenneth B (RD New Jersey)

To amend GWSNA Addendum D WSC Rules of Order #5 to read, “When a motion regional proposal has been introduced and ~~seconded~~ it will be displayed and then posted on the NAWS Discussion Board so that it is visible to all members of the fellowship.”

Intent: To build better communication throughout the fellowship by providing complete transparency to all NA members of what is happening at the World Service Conference, and to make use of the NAWS Discussion Board to stimulate more interest from the fellowship of what happens at the WSC.

There was no objection to amending Motion 23 as indicated above.

M/S/F to commit to the World Board Mark H (WB)/JJ (RD Minnesota). Motion to commit – failed by voice vote

Action: failed by voice vote



Motion #49 It was M/S/F Elliot L (RD ABCD) / Kenneth B (RD New Jersey)

That any motions that substantially change the service structure or change the basic make-up of the conference be presented at the WSC for discussion and then be made a ~~in the CAR~~ motion sent back to groups and require a 2/3 majority to pass.

Intent: The groups do care about this and want to be included in this decision. Since it required a 2/3 majority to seat conference participants it should require the same to remove them.

Action: amended as stated above and then failed by voice vote. The WB chair provided assurance that the board intends to place these types of recommendations resulting from the service system project in the CAR.



Motion #39 It was M/S/F Chris K (RD Wisconsin) / Brad K (RD Utah)

To limit the World Board responses on motions in the *Conference Agenda Report* to the same word count as the regional rationale.

Intent: To ensure equality in our discussions of issues.

Motion to substitute – Jeremy T (AD Upper Midwest) / Dwayne B (RD Northern New Jersey) –
Motion to accept the substitute motion- failed by voice vote

To remove the last two sentences in *A Guide to World Services in NA* in the first paragraph of page number 14 and to replace those sentences with: “Both the region and the world board have a limit of 500 words of commentary regarding the motion made by that region.”

Intent: In an attempt to achieve a “we” atmosphere throughout local and world services of Narcotics Anonymous and to allow for an even response to a motion as a result of consensus based decision making.

Action: failed by voice vote



Motion #54 It was M/S/F Ed B (RD Mid-Atlantic) / Bill H (RD New England)

To allow any World Board, HRP, or cofacilitator nominee to have five minutes of time prior to the election process to verbally qualify themselves to the conference delegates by personal appearance, video conference, phone conference, or any other technology that can be used to do so.

Intent: To allow the nominees to qualify in conjunction with the world pool information, due to the sense and personal input that often may get lost in written translation. This would at least allow the candidate the opportunity to express their qualifications personally.

Action: failed by voice vote



Motion #41 It was M/S/F Ken M (RD Southern California) / Daniel F (RD Washington/NW Idaho Region)

To change the language in the GWSNA, on page 16 and 25 from “eight (8)” to “six (6)” as listed below.

Terms

The length of term for board members shall be six years. All members of the board are eligible for election for two consecutive terms.

To maintain the ideal of one-third of board seats rotating every two years, if the World Service Conference chooses to elect more than six (6) ~~eight (8)~~ board members at the same time, the term lengths will be determined by volunteers and then by random drawing at the first World Board meeting following the WSC.

Elections

1. ...

- a) In order to keep the ideal of one-third of World Board seats and one-half of the Human Resource Panel rotating every two years, open positions at the conference on the World Board above six ~~eight~~ and on the HRP above two will be considered vacancies. If the

conference chooses to fill a vacancy, the same majority is required for these positions as listed above but the World Board or the HRP respectively will determine the term length after the election.

Intent: To eliminate the contradiction on this section of the GWSNA.

Action: failed by voice vote



Motion #36 It was M/S/F David M (RD South Florida) / Wesley K (RD Upper Midwest)

In addition to the NAWS Annual Report NAWS will create a separate profit & loss statement for all future world conventions. This report will be event specific and will include all expenses for NAWS staff and the World Board to attend the events.

Intent: To give the fellowship the exact cost to have these events.

Action: failed by voice vote



Motion #20 It was M/S/F Dwayne B (RD Northern New Jersey) / Kathy B (RD Chicagoland)

To recognize Malta Region as a seated World Service Conference participant beginning at the close of WSC 2010.

Intent: That this community geographically isolated can bring its voice to the WSC.

M/S/F to commit to the World Board Ron H (WB) / Mark H (WB). Motion to commit – failed by voice vote

Action: failed by voice vote

Motion #9 Iowa Region

To direct the World Board to develop a project plan, for presentation to WSC 2012, to revise *Just for Today: Daily Meditations for Recovering Addicts* by replacing the thirteen quotes (and meditation passages if necessary) that were taken from the Fifth Edition Basic Text and *Youth and Recovery* with current fellowship approved NA literature.

Intent: To allow WSC 2012 to consider prioritization of this recovery literature project.



Motion #21 It was M/S David M (RD South Florida) / Phillip G (RD Carolina)

At the close of the WSC the World Board will provide each conference participant an “electronic copy” of other conference participant email addresses that can easily be merged with a database program such as Microsoft Access or similar database programs. This list will also be updated every 90 days by NAWS staff and included with the conference report mailing and made available to any conference participant upon request.

Intent: The intent is to help generate pre- and post-conference discussion between delegates.



Motion #22 It was M/S David M (RD South Florida) / Phillip G (RD Carolina)

To put back the language that was removed from the GWSNA 2006-2008 on page 54 WSC Rules of order “standing rules” last paragraph. “Any conference participant has the right to ask the conference to consider if an item is conference business or if it should be returned to each group for a “group conscience” vote. The conference then has to decide if the issue requires the efforts of NA groups.

Intent: The intent is that we should never remove this from the GWSNA because of our 2nd Tradition.



Motion #37 It was M/S David M (RD South Florida) / Bob B (RD Western New York)

To amend GWSNA page 38 or 39, WCNA Workshop, by adding language “only the World Board members assigned to the WCNA workgroup will be reimbursed for travel and expenses to the world convention.”

Intent: Save the fellowship the added expense that we would incur for this event because we have lost money at the last 2 world conventions.



Motion #38 It was M/S Junior B (WB)/ Mark H (WB)

To develop a plan of sending an H&I (which means Hospitals & Institutions) Panel to the translators booth and help those two guys.

Intent: To help the translators live in the cage or get it out of it, according to the 3rd Tradition.



Motion #40 It was M/S Chris K (RD Wisconsin) / Brad K (RD Utah)

To designate all motions from the Conference Approval Track material as old business and to delete language in GWSNA, page 9, paragraph 3 as shown below.

New business sessions usually take a bit more work. They are scheduled late in the conference week to allow the discussions and ideas of the week to come to bear on the discussions and decisions. The new business session focuses on items contained in the Conference Approval Track—including the budget and project plans for the next cycle, seating of new regions, and approval of service material—as well as the ideas that conference participants have come to during the week. The discussion on these items typically requires a much more fluid process than items in old business. Ideas are discussed and are often adapted and changed as the discussion begins to frame the will of the body. This is especially true for items being considered for the future or still in some stage of development. This can seem uncomfortable or strange to those of us only familiar with more formal processes. Straw polls and questions are used frequently to try to mold and frame the ideas being considered. Often the conference chooses not to hold any discussion on those items it does not wish to entertain. .

Intent: To move developed service decisions to old business.



Motion #44 It was M/S David M (RD South Florida) / Bill H (RD New England)

A free internet connection will always be provided in the conference room at the WSC for all conference participants.

Intent: 8th Concept, Our service structure depends on the integrity and effectiveness of our communications.



Motion #45 It was M/S Chris K (RD Wisconsin) / Gary V (RD OK)

To direct the World Board to discontinue the practice of publishing its recommendations to adopt, not adopt, commits, etc., on motions in the Conference Agenda Report. To amend GWSNA, page 14, paragraph 1 indicated by strike-through.

The *Conference Agenda Report* includes reports, proposals, and motions from the World Board and any proposals or motions submitted from regions. (Regional motions will be included in their own section and have the same number when presented on the conference floor.) Regional motions must be submitted two hundred and forty (240) days prior to the opening of the conference. All motions will include a written intent. Regions are allowed up to 150 words to describe the reasoning behind, and consequences of, their regional motions in the *Conference Agenda Report*. ~~The World Board also includes a recommendation in order to provide the fellowship with as much information as possible when considering the idea.~~

Intent: To encourage and preserve the objectivity of our members when discussing the motions.



Motion #47 It was M/S Matt S (RD NoCal) / Tony O (RD Georgia)

That the World Board undertake an evaluation of the efficacy of discontinuing the Human Resource Panel and replacing their functions with a process for the world board to forward direct nominations for prospective World Board members or Conference Co-facilitators to the WSC. The board will submit a recommendation on this issue for possible action at WSC 2012.

Intent: After a decade of amendments and adjustments to the HRP Process, it may be time to look at other methods for identifying and forwarding prospective nominees for world service positions to the WSC. The World Board has active contact with “shining stars”; members throughout our fellowship that have special talents and experience, or who have helped in developing NA communities or participated in World Service Projects. Perhaps the board itself would be the best entity for identifying and bringing for candidates for consideration by the WSC.



Motion #48 It was M/S Ron M (RD Buckeye) / Chris K (RD Wisconsin)

To immediately cease production of IP27 for *The Parents or Guardians of Young People*.

Intent: Primary purpose to carry the message to family members and friends of addicts. This piece of literature would be more appropriate for Nar-Anon



Motion #51 It was M/S Konstantine M (RD Greece) / Veronica B (RD Sweden)

That bilingualism i.e. a working familiarity with a language additional to English, is made by the World Board one of the selection criteria for members of workgroups developing new literature.

Intent: There is a specific cultural outlook built into the language of recovery. This is sometimes evident in terminology but more often in the cultural assumptions behind the words. This cultural bias (specificity) can detract from the universality of the NA message.

Translations can certainly go a long way in expressing the message of NA in ways that are culturally appropriate.

Still, the Fellowship globally stands to benefit by having members develop new literature who have a greater frame of reference and of thinking than the one central language of NA. (English).



Motion #53 It was M/S Wesley K (RD Upper Midwest) / Chris K (RD Wisconsin)

To direct the WB to post and/or send to RDs a copy of the minutes of the WB meetings within a timely manner after they meet. This practice to start at the close of WSC 2010.

Intent: To make a step in the direction of fulfilling our Eighth Concept by allowing some transparency of the WB to the RD.



Motion #55 It was M/S Rick W (RD Mountaineer) / Jose M (RD Brazil)

To amend Motion 29 (approve the service material project plan) with the following change: the last sentence in the purpose and scope would read “Resource material would be used to produce a conference approved handbook.”

Intent: This material is likely to be used by many NA service committees and we would like to have it in a conference approved handbook.



Motion #56 It was M/S Rick W (RD Mountaineer) / José M (RD Brazil)

To amend Motion 25 (the Public Relations project plan) with the following change: the last sentence of paragraph two would be replaced with “This material would be conference approved.”

Intent: This material may have a significant impact on the fellowship and we would like it to be approved by the conference.

STATISTICS ON PARTICIPANTS ATTENDING WSC 2010

- There are 216 people seated on the floor of this WSC, and of 114 seated regions, there are 111 RDs present. Ecuador, Le Nordet, and Nepal are not in attendance.
- Of the 111 RDs from seated regions, there are 67 from the US, 5 Canadian, 39 from outside the US and Canada. In addition, there are 2 invited non-seated/non-voting delegates from Denmark and Lithuania.
- We have 86 Alternate Delegates from seated regions attending, 66 from the US, 5 from Canada, and 15 from outside of the US or Canada. There is one invited alternate from Denmark, a non-seated region.
- We are also made up of 154 men and 62 women.

WEBSITE SNAPSHOT AS OF MARCH 2010

TOTAL HITS ON ALL NA.ORG SITES – 8,677,803

Total page views – 2,296,659

Total visits – 526,506

DOWNLOADS – TOP FIVE

Basic Text – accessed 223,380

IP #7 (*Am I an Addict*) – 5,488

It Works – 3,963

IP #22 (*Welcome to NA*) – 3,268

An Introduction to NA Meetings – 3,449

ACCESSED AREAS:

Bulletin boards/discussion areas:

Service discussion areas (444 registered members) – 2,951 visits

Living Clean discussion area (805 members) – 32,187 visits

WSC Discussion Area (235 members) – 5,531 visits (highest ever was May 2008 with 11,000 visits)

Publications:

Just For Today Daily Mailings – over 28,000 daily emails

NA Way - Jan issue viewed 10,997 times in March (English)

NAWS News – Feb issue was viewed 1,329 times in March (English)

Reaching Out – the April 2010 issue was viewed 2,410 times

2010 *CAR* – 1,693 downloads in March

2010 *CAT* – 342 downloads in March

Review and Input Statistics

	6th Ed. Basic Text	<i>In Times of Illness</i>	<i>Funding NA Services</i>	<i>Money Matters</i>	Living Clean (three chapters)
Approximate R&I copies distributed	7500	1400	1000	750	1100+
Pieces of input received	350	93	69	69	103

NA WAY STATISTICS

The NA Way Circulation Numbers		
	Average Issue	Annual Total
English		
Paper	37,115	148,458
Website downloads per month	10,997	131,964
Esubs	8,751	35,004
Castilian		
Paper	1,979	7,917
Esubs	426	1,704
French		
Paper	269	1,075
Esubs	112	448
German		
Paper	183	731
Esubs	54	216
Portuguese		
Paper	1,146	4,583
Esubs	275	1,100
Farsi		
Paper only and sold (not free)	25,000	100,000
Total Paper Free Circulation	40,691	162,764
Total E-Sub Circulation	9,618	38,472

The NA Way Production and Distribution Costs		
English		Average
Production Costs	\$47,992	40%
Distribution Costs	\$71,051	60%
English Costs	\$119,043	\$0.80
Translated Versions		
Production Costs	\$24,231	50%
Distribution Costs	\$24,255	50%
Translated Cost	\$48,486	\$3.39
All Versions		
Production Costs	\$72,223	43%
Distribution Costs	\$95,306	57%
Total cost	\$167,529	\$1.00

These figures were provided in the NAWS Report as background information for Motion 34.

WORLD BOARD 9 positions open

Vote for up to 17 candidates by marking the box next to their name.

HRP Nominees

	<u>Candidate Name</u>	<u>RBZ Source, if any</u>
	Mindy A	Show Me Region, Southern Zonal Forum
	Mary B	World Board
	Ron B	World Board
	Iñigo C U	World Board
	Mahmoud C	
	Irene C	World Board
	Piet de B	World Board
	Muk H-D	World Board
	Khalil J	World Board
	Sue L	
	Ron M	World Board
	Rhonda R	World Board
	Erik R	
	Laura R	World Board
	Billy R	World Board

Nominees from the floor

	<u>Candidate Name</u>	<u>Nominating Region</u>
	Dennis S	Mid-Atlantic Region
	Sherry V	Central Atlantic Region

HUMAN RESOURCE PANEL 2 positions open

Vote for up to 3 candidates by marking the box next to their name.

HRP Nominees

	<u>Candidate Name</u>	<u>RBZ Source, if any</u>
	Pat P, Jr.	
	Petronella P	
	Mark W	Alabama/NW Florida RSC, South East Zonal Forum

WSC CO-FACILITATOR 2 positions open

Vote for up to 4 candidates by marking the box next to their name.

HRP Nominees

	<u>Candidate Name</u>	<u>RBZ Source, if any</u>
	Marc G	Chicagoland RSC, Midwest Zonal Forum
	Louis H	Chicagoland RSC, Midwest Zonal Forum
	Mike H	
	Jack H	Washington/No Idaho RSC

WORLD BOARD

MARY B

RON B

IÑIGO C U

PIET DE B

MUK H-D

RON M

HUMAN RESOURCE PANEL


PAT P, JR

MARK W

WSC CO-FACILITATOR

MARC G

JACK H



A Vision for NA Service

All of the efforts of Narcotics Anonymous are inspired by the primary purpose of our groups. Upon this common ground we stand committed.

Our vision is that one day:

- Every addict in the world has the chance to experience our message in his or her own language and culture and find the opportunity for a new way of life;
- Every member, inspired by the gift of recovery, experiences spiritual growth and fulfillment through service;
- NA service bodies worldwide work together in a spirit of unity and cooperation to support the groups in carrying our message of recovery;
- Narcotics Anonymous has universal recognition and respect as a viable program of recovery.

Honesty, trust, and goodwill are the foundation of our service efforts, all of which rely upon the guidance of a loving Higher Power.