WSC 2012
Draft Summary of Decisions
Revised June 2012
ADOPTED MOTIONS
(LISTED IN THE ORDER THEY WERE INTRODUCED)

Old Business

Motion #7: It was M/C World Board
To adopt for WSC 2012 only, the following exceptions to the WSC Rules of Order:

**Formal Old Business Session**

A. Main motions (GWSNA, page 57G) or amendments (GWSNA, page 57B) to main motions will be limited to the following:
   - CAR motions, including resolutions
   - A motion “To approve the minutes from WSC 2010”.
   - This motion “To adopt for WSC 2012 only, the following exceptions to the WSC Rules of Order:”

B. Changes to motions, resolutions, and proposals will be handled in the discussion portion of the old business session.
   - Proposed changes to motions, resolutions, and proposals should be submitted on a proposal form by the old business deadline at 6 pm Sunday (or if the last session Sunday runs long, a half hour after that session).
   - Changes that would previously have been addressed by making a formal amendment will be submitted by the deadline as “an idea for changing a motion, resolution, or proposal.”

**Formal New Business Session**

A. Main motions (GWSNA, page 57G) or amendments (GWSNA, page 57B) to main motions will be limited to the following:
   - Motions to pass the project plans
   - A motion to approve the 2012-2014 NAWS budget

B. Any other new business will be treated as a proposal rather than a motion:
   - New business proposals, including proposed changes to motions, must be submitted on a proposal form by the new business deadline, 6 pm Wednesday night.

Intent: To continue our evolution towards a consensus based conference

**Motion required 2/3. Carried by voice vote**

Motion #6: It was M/C World Board
To approve the 2010 World Service Conference Minutes.
Carried by unanimous consent
Motion #1: It was M/C World Board
To approve the book Living Clean: The Journey Continues contained in Addendum A.
Intent: To have a book on the recovery journey available to our members.
Motion required 2/3. Carried by unanimous consent

Motion #2: It was M/C World Board
To allow the World Board to make non-substantive corrections to Fellowship Approved literature which do not impact the original meaning of the Fellowship Approved text and which fix typographical errors, obsolete references, references to outdated literature, and other similar corrections. The World Board will announce such corrections not less than 120 days in advance of publication.
Intent: To allow corrections to literature that do not impact the original meaning of the Fellowship Approved text to be made without necessitating a fellowshipwide vote. Any corrections of this type to be made in Fellowship Approved literature would be announced in advance.
Motion required 2/3. Carried, as amended, by 2/3 standing vote: 90-19-1 (yes-no-abstain)

Motion #3: It was M/C World Board
To allow the World Board to bundle, excerpt, and repackage Fellowship Approved literature without changes to the texts themselves. The World Board will announce these actions not less than 120 days in advance of publication.
Intent: This would allow literature or portions of literature to be combined so that members who were interested in a particular topic could more easily access all of the material on that topic in one place.
Motion required 2/3. Carried, as amended, by 2/3 standing vote: 89-22-1 (yes-no-abstain)

Motion #4: It was M/C World Board
To allow the World Board the ability to create and approve enhanced electronic or digital versions of texts that include supplemental materials or connections to other NA materials. The World Board will announce such enhancements not less than 120 days in advance of publication.
Intent: To allow supplemental material such as sound files, historical images or texts, and hyperlinks to other NA materials, to be included within an electronic or digital version of a text with clear labeling indicating what is Fellowship Approved and the source of any additional material.
Motion required 2/3. Carried, as amended, by 2/3 standing vote: 87-23-1 (yes-no-abstain)
Motion #5:  It was M/C World Board
To hold the World Convention of NA every three years, beginning 2018, alternating North American and non-North American locations, as follows and contained in the revised World Convention Map contained in Addendum C.
Intent: Considering the trend of decreasing attendance and a changing global economy, to decrease the frequency of the World Convention of NA, thereby making it a more special event.
Motion required 2/3. Carried by voice vote

Resolution 1:  It was M/C World Board
To Approve in Principle: Our service efforts will be carried out through a system that includes structure, process, people, and resources.
Resolution required simple majority. Carried by voice vote

Resolution 2:  It was M/C World Board
To Approve in Principle: The service system is group-focused and includes a local-level body dedicated exclusively to addressing group concerns.
Resolution required simple majority. Carried by voice vote

Resolution 3:  It was M/C World Board
To Approve in Principle: Training and mentoring of trusted servants are essential functions of the service system.
Resolution required simple majority. Carried by voice vote

Resolution 4:  It was M/C World Board
To Approve in Principle: Service bodies are purpose- and vision-driven.
Resolution required simple majority. Carried by voice vote

Resolution 5  It was M/C World Board
To Approve in Principle: Service bodies work together to utilize planning processes to organize and coordinate their efforts.
Resolution required simple majority. Carried by voice vote

Resolution 6  It was M/C World Board
To Approve in Principle: Service bodies make decisions by consensus.
Resolution required simple majority. Carried by standing vote:  77-31-1-2 (yes-no-abstain-present not voting)
Resolution 7 It was M/C World Board

To Approve in Principle: The service structure includes local service bodies, state/nation/province service bodies, and intermediate bodies if needed. Service bodies follow established geographic boundaries. They are not self-determined, but are formed, based on need, through a collaborative planning process and agreement with other affected service bodies at the next level of service.

Resolution required simple majority. Carried by standing vote: 61-44-2-4 (yes-no-abstain-present not voting)

Resolution 8 It was M/C World Board

To Approve in Principle: State/national/province boundaries are the primary criterion for seating consideration at the World Service Conference.

Resolution required simple majority. Carried by standing vote: 60-46-1-3 (yes-no-abstain-present not voting)

Motion #8 It was M/C World Board

To approve the Fellowship Issue Discussions project plan for inclusion in the 2012-2014 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.

Carried by voice vote

Motion #9 It was M/C World Board

To approve the Service System project plan for inclusion in the 2012-2014 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.

Carried by voice vote

Motion #10 It was M/C World Board

To approve the Traditions Book project plan for inclusion in the 2012-2014 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.

Carried by voice vote

Motion #11 It was M/C World Board

To approve the Public Relations project plan for inclusion in the 2012-2014 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.

Carried by voice vote

Motion #12 It was M/C World Board

To approve the An Introduction to NA Meetings project plan for inclusion in the 2012-2014 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget
Carried by voice vote

Motion #13 It was M/C World Board
To approve the Trusted Servant Support and Development project plan for inclusion in the 2012-2014 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.
Carried by voice vote

Motion #14 It was M/C World Board
To approve the 2012-2014 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.
Carried by voice vote
**Proposals Supported in Straw Polls**

Proposal C: Tejas Bluebonnet Region

For Board Approved Service Pamphlets be clearly marked on front “Service Related Material and not intended to be read during recovery meetings.”

Intent: To further make it clear to fellowship that this is not fellowship approved Literature and not intended for use in recovery meetings. We feel that the statement on the back in somewhat small print is not noticeable enough for members that may not know or understand the difference. That although this is explained in the GTWS that many members do not read that service handbook.

Amended by maker

Revisit idea, as amended, and straw poll Saturday morning: strong support

Proposal X Jim H (RD British Columbia)

Change GTWS, Page 3, line 31 to 33.

That, in principle, regional delegates not be required to be absent (from the WSC floor) in order for their alternates to address the conference. (It is understood that only the RD or RDA would be able to speak on any one topic – not both).

Intent: To permit the RDA to address the conference without the need to have the RD leave the conference floor and to encourage mentorship.

Straw Poll Results: strong support

Proposal AI Jodi G (RD Southern Idaho)

While we agree that a region be allowed to speak only once to an issue, we propose that if the RD and AD agree, that the RD be allowed to remain on the floor while the AD speaks to an issue

Intent: We believe the purpose of both AD and RD attending the WSC is to allow the AD to learn processes by watching and participating. We hold that an RD’s support is important, particularly when an AD speaks to the body. We hold that requiring the RD to leave makes the process awkward and difficult and restricts the process. We fail to understand the reason for the rule during our move toward less restrictive process.

Straw Poll Results: support

These two items were simplified by the facilitator:

That an RD not need to leave the floor in order for an AD to speak

Straw Poll Results: strong support
WSC PROCESSES STRAW POLLS

Next Steps with CBDM at the WSC
Do we want to continue the experiment begun at WSC 2012 – to use proposals rather than motions for regional motions in the CAR and new business at WSC 2014?

Straw Poll Results: Strong Support

Limiting Discussion

Already straw polled: A two minute limit for speaking

Straw Poll Results: Strong Support
Already straw polled: Co-facilitators suggesting the queue be closed

Straw Poll Results: unanimous support
Are we willing to limit initial discussion on old business?: If we seek the body’s concurrence for the specific limitations of debate/discussion?

Straw Poll Results: Strong Support

WSC Seating
Do you support the WB recommendation to not consider any region for seating at WSC 2014?

Straw Poll Results: Body Divided
Continue the spirit of the existing moratorium for one cycle. (Do not consider regions resulting from a split.)

Straw Poll Results: 73 in favor – 20 opposed
Anthony explained that the board believes they have a clear indication of the body’s intent and they will report back to the conference.

Proposal X (Strong Support during business discussion session)
Change GTWS, Page 3, line 31 to 33.
That, in principle, regional delegates not be required to be absent (from the WSC floor) in order for their alternates to address the conference. (It is understood that only the RD or RDA would be able to speak on any one topic – not both).
Intent: To permit the RDA to address the conference without the need to have the RD leave the conference floor and to encourage mentorship.
To add language as follows to the WSC Rules of Order:

WSC Rules
1. Each conference participant has only one vote. RD alternates are considered to be the same “member” as their respective RD when acting as a participant. Either the RD or RDA—but not both—may speak on any one topic.
Anthony explained that this is the sort of language that would be offered in the CAT as part of the revised Rules of Order.

**Early Release of CPRs**
Do you support doing this again?

**Straw Poll Results: Strong Support**

**Facebook Page for NAWS**
Do you support a push only NAWS Facebook page?

**Straw Poll Results: Strong Support**

**Proposal C (Strong Support during business discussion session, as amended by maker)**

For Board Approved Service Pamphlets be clearly marked on front “Service Related Material and not intended to be read during recovery meetings.”

Intent: To further make it clear to fellowship that this is not fellowship approved Literature and not intended for use in recovery meetings. We feel that the statement on the back in somewhat small print is not noticeable enough for members that may not know or understand the difference. That although this is explained in the GTWS that many members do not read that service handbook.

**Proposed language: Board Approved Service Pamphlet —not intended to be read during recovery meetings**

**Straw Poll Results: Strong Support**

**Zonal Videos**

Is there Strong Support for a 5-10 minute video opportunity for all zones? (If submitted by a given date, would be played during breaks and sessions at the WSC.)

**Conference affirms—yes**

**Conference Participant Bulletin Board**

If the conference participant bulletin board was mobile friendly, would you use it?

**Conference affirms—yes**
PROPOSALS NOT SUPPORTED IN STRAW POLLS
(LISTED IN THE ORDER THEY WERE INTRODUCED)

Proposal F: David L (RD Ohio)
To amend motion 2 as follows: To allow the World Board to make non-substantive corrections to Fellowship Approved literature which do not impact the original meaning of the Fellowship Approved text and which fix typographical errors, obsolete references, and references to outdated literature, and other similar corrections. The World Board will announce such corrections in advance of publication.
Intent: To limit the World Board’s ability to make corrections to Fellowship-approved literature.
Straw Poll Results: strong opposition

Proposal K: David H (RD Mountaineer)
To amend motion 2 as follows: To allow the World Board to make non-substantive corrections to Fellowship Approved literature which do not impact the original meaning of the Fellowship Approved text and which fix typographical errors, obsolete references, references to outdated literature, and other similar corrections. The World Board will distribute the draft changes to RDs for approval 120 days announce such corrections in advance of publication.
Intent: To provide a process for World Board accountability to the fellowship.
Straw Poll Results: strong opposition

Proposal N: Martina B (RD German Speaking)
To amend motion 2 as follows: We would like to have a 90 day review and input time frame to have the possibility to look for major mistakes.
Intent: As a result of the experiences with sponsorship book and the service pamphlet “Introduction to NA” we want to avoid a repetition.
Straw Poll Results: strong opposition

Proposal P: Dawn E (RD Tejas Bluebonnet)
To amend motion 2 as follows: To allow the World Board to make non-substantive corrections to Fellowship Approved literature which do not impact the original meaning of the Fellowship Approved text and which fix typographical errors, obsolete references, references to outdated literature, and other similar corrections. The World Board will announce such corrections in advance of publication.
Intent: For clarity purposes and remove any potential doubt from fellowships understanding of the World Board’s abilities.
Straw Poll Results: strong opposition
Proposal G: David L (RD Ohio)

To amend motion 4 as follows: To allow the World Board the ability to create and approve enhanced electronic or digital versions of texts that include supplemental materials or connections to other NA materials. The World Board will announce such enhancements in advance of publication.

Intent: To not allow World Board approval of such enhanced electronic or digital versions of Fellowship-approved literature.

Straw Poll Results: strong opposition

Proposal Q: Allen J (RD Chesapeake & Potomac)

To amend motion 4. To add to the end of the proposed new language for Article 2, Section 5 of the Fellowship Intellectual Property Trust “and obtains Fellowship approval.”

Intent: The language permitting the World Board to create and self-approve enhanced electronic or digital versions of texts that include supplemental materials effectively permits the drafting of new literature by the current (and all future) World Boards. This is especially true of supplemental material not already Fellowship-approved. This amendment ensures that new literature is Fellowship-approved prior to distribution and sale.

Straw Poll Results: strong opposition

Proposal J: David H (RD Mountaineer)

To amend Resolution 7 as follows: Resolution 7. The service structure includes local service bodies, state/nation/province service bodies, and intermediate bodies if needed. Service bodies willing to follow established geographic boundaries. They are not self-determined, but are formed, based on need, through a collaborative planning process and agreement at the next level of service with other affected service bodies.

Intent: To remove concerns about “the next level of service” dictating to group, areas and regions what boundary (if any) would be.

Straw Poll Results: strong opposition

Proposal R: Netta K (RD Israel)

To amend Resolution 7. To separate the global level from the local level when considering geographical borders for service bodies.

Intent: We understand there is a problem of too many regions seated in the conference. So, conference size is becoming unmanageable, also we understand NA is growing and more groups, areas and regions are created. At the local level a group should be able to join a neighboring area if this area is more suitable for it, the same as members can join groups where they are.

Straw Poll Results: strong opposition
Proposal W: Laura R (RD Costa Rica)

To amend Resolution 7 as follows: Resolution 7. The service structure includes local service bodies, state/nation/province service bodies, and intermediate bodies if needed. Service bodies follow established geographic boundaries. They are not self-determined, but are formed, based on need, through a collaborative planning process and agreement at the next level of service.

Intent: Already corrected in Resolution 8

Straw Poll Results: strong opposition

Proposal A: Carolina Region

For the Fellowship of NA and the WSC to consider a proposal for the creation of Written Service Material dedicated to assisting the members of NA as a whole on (1) how to actively participate in literature and publication projects as well as (2) to have resource material available in providing guidance for the development of Literature and Publication processes locally.

Intent: To enhance and broaden fellowship service material for the development, input, and review processes of our printed publications: Informational Pamphlets (IPs), Service Related IPs, Books, Booklets, Service Manuals/Handbooks, Journals, Newsletters, and other written materials for the good of the NA Fellowship.

Straw Poll Results: opposition

Proposal B: Hawaii Region

To submit to the World Board as an idea for further study: to produce a book-length publication called 'The NA WAY: Celebration Edition' comprised of a compilation of articles published in the NA WAY 1982-2012.

Intent: The publication of a book length piece literature featuring the selections of message(s) of recovery illustrated by already reviewed and published articles contributed by members to the past 30 years of Recovery in the NA Way.

Amended by maker

Straw Poll Results: opposition

Proposal D: Southern Brazil Region

That members who wish to receive the NA Way Magazine in hard copy format pay a subscription fee to cover the costs of printing and mailing.

Intent: To distribute the costs of printing and mailing the magazine in hard copy format among members who wish to receive the magazine in that format rather than having NAWS cover those costs.

Straw Poll Results: strong opposition
Proposal O: Helena C (RD Uruguay)

To amend Proposal D: That The members who wish to receive the NA Way Magazine in hard copy format and who can or wish pay a subscription fee to cover the costs of printing and mailing, have a direct form of doing so (either by credit card or bank transaction) which will only serve for this publication.

Intent: To give the members who wish and can pay for the magazine, the opportunity of helping to cover the cost of printing and mailing. In the way, the members who cannot afford the magazine will have the chance to receive the NA Way for free.

Straw Poll Results: strong opposition

Proposal E: Upper Midwest Region

Our idea is to revisit the discussion of the world board members no longer having voting status at the world service conference.

Straw Poll Results: strong opposition

Proposal AC Don M (RD Show-Me)

The Service System Proposal be dropped from the budget of WSO.

Intent: The SSP has the process backwards. If the fellowship saw a need to change the service structure we would have asked for it in the form of a motion, not instigated by the World Board.

Straw Poll Results: strong opposition

Proposal AG Kenny B (RD New Jersey)

To give fellowship a full conference cycle to workshop, investigate, and trial implementation of the SSP. Results of efforts to be gathered at WSC 2014 for further action.

Intent: to allow fellowship more of a reasonable time to workshop and try out resolutions/proposals before moving forward

Straw Poll Results: strong opposition

Proposal AL Jodi G (RD Southern Idaho)

That the elements of the SSP be offered as suggestions and options rather than instructions and mandates.

Intent: To be more in line with our spiritual principles, namely our 12 Traditions and 12 Concepts.

Straw Poll Results: strong opposition
Proposal AH Jodi G (RD Southern Idaho)

That any decisions regarding the SSP be included in the 2014 CAR and require 2/3 vote to pass.
Intent: To allow the fellowship’s full discussion, input, and conscience on this very important matter and ensure that the outcome is truly based on the fellowship’s collective conscience.

Straw Poll Results: strong opposition

Proposal Z Don M (RD Show-Me)

WSO no longer provide staff at the WCNA and the World Board not be provided any sort of assistance or reimbursement for them to attend WCNA as well.
Intent: WCNA needs to return to a volunteer opportunity for its members and reduce expenses incurred by extravagant pay, travel, lodging and meal expenses.

Straw Poll Results: strong opposition

Proposal AF Amanda M (RD Iowa)

To discuss alternate ways the WSC participants can relax and fellowship together on (Wednesday) afternoon, instead of spending $22,000 to go to the ranch.
If we don’t reach any conclusions or alternatives at the WSC 2012 discussion, we would like the World Board to consider alternatives for the WSC 2014.
Intent: to look at being more prudent with our limited resources, while still having down time to recuperate and build unity.

Straw Poll Results: opposition

Proposal Y Andrey G (RD Western Russia)

To recognize Siberia and Far East Region as a seated region at the end of WSC 2012.
Intent: This is a newly formed region and it has clear geographically determined boundaries. This region serves 7 Russian federal districts and fits the criteria established by Resolution 8, approved by the WSC 2012.
The request for seating was discussed at RSC meeting. The region has a working service structure, and all service positions are filled. Region does believe that they could add real value to the WSC with its uniqueness in the territorial extent of the service area (7000km) It was formed in November of 2008.
Standing Straw poll – 55-60-6

Proposal AJ Jodi G (RD Southern Idaho)

That the conference either adopt true consensus based decision making or return to parliamentary procedure rather than waffling between the two.
Intent: To reduce confusion, re-establish trust in the process, and be more consistent in our decision-making process.

Straw Poll Results: strong opposition
Proposal AK Jodi G (RD Southern Idaho)

To improve the process of electing trusted servants to WB, HRP, and Co-fac positions by providing either a live (if at the conference) or recorded (if not present at the conference) interview early in the conference week.
Intent: To give us the opportunity to practice Concept 4 by allowing us to be more informed about the trusted servants we are considering.
Straw Poll Results: strong opposition

Proposal AD Liz B (RD UK)

That the World Board consider and allocating time on the agenda of WSC for zonal reports if the zones wish to present a report.
Intent: To enable the fellowship to be informed of the development and growth of emerging communities world-wide and how fellowship development is carried out within the zones
Straw Poll Results: strong opposition

Proposal AE Cristiano D (RD Southern Brazil)

WB gives recommendations in regional proposals in the CAR. Our proposal is that WB only gives important information about the proposal the more neutral as possible.
Intent: Let the regions free to decide
Straw Poll Results: strong opposition

Proposal AA Don M (RD Show-Me)

The financial reporting for the WCNA be provided in a detailed form and not in a summary way.
Intent: We should demand the same type of financial accountability from every level of service. If the world convention can lose hundreds of thousands of dollars they should tell us exactly how they did it.
Straw Poll Results: strong opposition

Proposal AB Don M (RD Show-Me)

All previous and future WSC and World Board minutes be posted on na.org for all members to view at their leisure.
Intent: How do we know that our trusted servants are being directly responsible to us if we are not provided the opportunity to view the minutes of the meeting
Straw Poll Results: strong opposition
Proposal AM Craig S (RD Buckeye)

That a transparent communication process be created where all regional input given to the World Board toward the shaping of projects, proposals and CAR motions is viewable to all WSC participants. Intent: So that the needs and perspective of all participants, in their own words, may be considered by each region regarding each decision item, project or proposal. Perhaps a transparent input process would encourage more input and a more creative process of the shaping of ideas between WSCs.

Straw Poll Results: strong opposition

◆◆◆◆◆◆◆
**Proposals Made and Withdrawn**  
*(Listed in the order they were introduced)*

Proposal L: David H (RD Mountaineer)

To amend motion 3 as follows: To allow the World Board to bundle, excerpt, and repackage Fellowship Approved literature without changes to the texts themselves. The World Board will **distribute the draft changes to RDs for approval 120 days** announce such corrections in advance of publication.

Intent: To provide a process for World Board accountability to the fellowship.

Proposal I: David H (RD Mountaineer)

To amend Motion 4 as follows: To allow the World Board the ability to create and approve enhanced electronic or digital versions of texts that include supplemental fellowship materials or connections to other NA materials. The World Board will announce such enhancements in advance of publication.

Intent: To clarify that only fellowship materials will be limited.

Proposal M: David H (RD Mountaineer)

To amend motion 4 as follows: To allow the World Board the ability to create and approve enhanced electronic or digital versions of texts that include supplemental materials or connections to other NA materials. The World Board will **distribute the draft changes to RDs for approval 120 days** announce such corrections in advance of publication.

Intent: To provide a process for World Board accountability to the fellowship.

Proposal S Kenny B (RD New Jersey)

To amend motion 4 to not include that this is non-fellowship approved literature (in the digital enhancement)

Proposal U Laura R (RD Costa Rica)

To amend motion 4. Make a project for later approval.

Intent: To have all the ideas consolidated and reviewed before approval so fellowship can give feedback

Proposal H Pam J (RD San Diego/Imperial)

To change motion 5 from “every 3 years” to “every 2 ½ years” (with all else pertaining to the motion remaining the same – see example)

NA60 – 2013 Philadelphia

2015/16 – Central/South America
NA65 – 2018 North America
   2020/21 – Asia\Middle East\Africa

NA70 – 2023 – North America
   2025/26 – Europe

NA75 – 2028 – North America
   2030/31 – Central/South America

NA80 – 2033 – North America

Intent: This fits with the World Board intent of making the WCNA a more significant event by having the event in North America fall in years that are anniversary dates for our fellowship. This would give a year window of flexibility for the international events to take into account weather and off-peak rates keeping the WCNA rates more affordable. It should be noted that this plan and the current WB motion is identical over the next 8 years, the change isn’t until late 2020 or early 2021.

Proposal V Laura R (RD Costa Rica)

To amend motion 5. No change to frequency, keep every two years.

Intent: Every two years is what we want
**SERVICE SYSTEM STRAW POLLS**

**Straw Poll a** There is a small, neighborhood-sized body devoted to group needs. This group forum, which is typically not part of the delegation stream, is informal in nature and operates through conversation not formal decision making.

**Straw poll results:** standing count 53/37/9 (for/ against/ present but not voting)

**Straw Poll b** Groups send a delegate quarterly to a local service planning meeting. One of those quarterly meetings is a general assembly where all interested members are encouraged to attend and input is given to help plan service activities for the cycle.

**Straw poll results:** standing count 65/37/6 (for/ against/ present but not voting)

**Straw Poll c** Services are coordinated by a local service board and carried out by members, committees, and project workgroups who report to that board.

**Straw poll results:** standing count 76/31/2 (for / against/ present but not voting)

**Straw Poll d** Local service bodies follow county, city, or town boundaries, where practical. (They are much larger than the group forums mentioned above and in many cases larger than the current ASCs.)

**Straw poll results:** standing count 60/43/5 (for/ against/ present but not voting)

**Straw Poll e** The boundaries of those local service bodies are agreed to at the state or national level.

**Straw poll results:** standing count 58/48/5 (for/ against/ present but not voting)

**Straw Poll f** Planning cycles are synchronized from level to level (local to state to global) as well as across each level.

**Straw poll results:** standing count 71/34/4 (for/ against/ present but not voting)

**Straw Poll g** When service needs cannot be accomplished effectively by local service bodies and state/national/province bodies, an intermediate level of service can be added.

**Straw poll results:** standing count 71/36 /4 (for/ against/ present but not voting)

< |
**Straw Poll h** Most states, provinces, or countries have one state-, province- or nationwide service body that is responsible for state- or national-level public relations and coordinating efforts such as training across local service bodies.

**Kenny B (RD New Jersey) Proposal T** to amend straw poll H to use the “mentor” opposed to “training”

Straw poll “mentoring” or “training”

**Results of straw poll:** support for “mentoring”

**Straw Poll h** Most states, provinces, or countries have one state-, province- or nationwide service body that is responsible for state- or national-level public relations and coordinating efforts such as mentoring across local service bodies.

**Straw poll results:** standing count 67/39/3 (for/ against/ present but not voting)

**Straw Poll i** Zonal boundaries are decided through a collaborative process with neighboring NA communities, other zones, and the WSC.

**Straw poll results:** standing count 72/34/6 (for/ against/ present but not voting)
There are 210 people seated on the floor of this WSC, and of 115 seated regions, there are 112 RDs present. El Salvador, India, and Le Nordet are not in attendance.

Of the 112 RDs from seated regions, there are 67 from the US, 5 Canadian, and 40 from outside the US and Canada.

We have 83 Alternate Delegates from seated regions attending, 61 from the US, 4 from Canada, and 18 from outside of the US or Canada.

We are also made up of 153 men and 57 women.
### World Board

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate Name</th>
<th>RBZ Source, if any</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tana A</td>
<td>World Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul C</td>
<td>World Board, Canadian Assembly, Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atlantic Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irene C</td>
<td>Ireland Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pierrot G</td>
<td>Canadian Assembly, Quebec Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob G</td>
<td>World Board, Florida Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arne H-G</td>
<td>World Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark H</td>
<td>World Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franney J</td>
<td>World Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tali M</td>
<td>Hawaii Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonia N</td>
<td>World Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MaryEllen P</td>
<td>Central California Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura R</td>
<td>World Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt S</td>
<td>World Board</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Nominees from Conference Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate Name</th>
<th>Nominating Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dennis S</td>
<td>Mid-Atlantic Region</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### HRP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate Name</th>
<th>RBZ Source, if any</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lib E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David J</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahmed M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CoFac

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate Name</th>
<th>RBZ Source, if any</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dickie D</td>
<td>Southern Zonal Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marc G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrey G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WSC 2012 ELECTION RESULTS (LAST NAMES REMOVED FOR THIS RECORD)

WORLD BOARD
TANA A
PAUL C
IRENE C
PAUL F
BOB G
ARNE H-G
MARK H
FRANNEY J
TALI M
TONIA N
MARYELLEN P

HUMAN RESOURCE PANEL
LIB E
DAVID J

WSC COFACILITATOR
DICKIE D
MARC G
## SELECTED FIGURES FROM THE NAWS BUDGET PRESENTATION

### Statement of Financial Position
(\textit{Balance Sheet})

\textbf{NA World Services - Consolidated}

\textbf{March 31, 2012}

\textbf{ASSETS}

#### CURRENT ASSETS

- **Cash and Cash Equivalents**
  - Checking Accounts and Money Market: \$1,345,750
  - Reserve Accounts: 834,455
  - Total Accessible Cash for Operations: \$2,180,205
  - Cash in Iran: 476,927
  - Reserve for WCNA: 94
  - Cash Total: \$2,657,226

- **Accounts Receivable**
  - Accounts Receivable: 1,035,681
  - Convention & Conference Clearing Accounts: 8,116
  - Less Allowance for Doubtful Accounts: (117,239)
  - Receivable Total: 926,557

- **Inventory**: 1,351,526
- **Prepaid Expenses and Sales Deposits**: 262,750
- **WCNA Deposits and Prepaid Expenses**: 12,500

- **Total Current Assets**: \$5,210,559

#### EQUIPMENT, FURNITURE AND IMPROVEMENTS

- **Equipment and Furniture**: \$1,235,000
- **Leasehold Improvements**: 891,029
- **Intellectual and Software Property**: 1,629,648
- **Less Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization**: (3,324,408)

- **Total Equipment, Furniture and Improvements**: 431,269

- **TOTAL ASSETS**: \$5,641,828
### Figures from the NAWS Budget Presentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Range</th>
<th>Living Clean</th>
<th>Service System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual Expenses</td>
<td>Project Adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-2009</td>
<td>$115,891</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-2010</td>
<td>$93,766</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>$67,740</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-2012</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$277,397</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Selected Figures from the Fellowship Development Presentation: Literature Distribution Figures from the Environmental Scan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Total #</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Farsi</th>
<th>Brazilian</th>
<th>Spanish</th>
<th>Russian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic Text</td>
<td>448,106</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JFT</td>
<td>176,797</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It Works</td>
<td>141,560</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>2% (PO)</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWB</td>
<td>555,795</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsorship</td>
<td>17,371</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td></td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step Guide</td>
<td>176,316</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welcome to NA</td>
<td>693,074</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A Vision for NA Service

All of the efforts of Narcotics Anonymous are inspired by the primary purpose of our groups. Upon this common ground we stand committed.

Our vision is that one day:

- Every addict in the world has the chance to experience our message in his or her own language and culture and find the opportunity for a new way of life;
- Every member, inspired by the gift of recovery, experiences spiritual growth and fulfillment through service;
- NA service bodies worldwide work together in a spirit of unity and cooperation to support the groups in carrying our message of recovery;
- Narcotics Anonymous has universal recognition and respect as a viable program of recovery.

Honesty, trust, and goodwill are the foundation of our service efforts, all of which rely upon the guidance of a loving Higher Power.